

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/848,819		05/03/2001	Richard T. Allen	ACS 57527	8480
24201	7590	07/09/2003			
		ON LEE & UTEC	EXAMINER		
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6060 CENTER DRIVE				MATTHEWS, WILLIAM H	
TENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3738	
				DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/Y
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/848,819	ALLEN ET AL.
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit
	William H. Matthews (Howie)	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper reply to a nich places the application in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 tension and the corresponding amount of the date of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella	nt's Brief must be filed within the	period set forth in
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	l because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand NOTE:	celing a corresponding number of	f finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re-	jection(s): <u>See Continuation Shee</u>	<u>et</u> .
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s). work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		nsidered but does NOT place the
6.⊠ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or swould be rejected is provided be	b) will be entered and an elow or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to: 6 and 17.		
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,10,11,15,16,18,19 and 21		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·	•
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disa	pproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure States		•
10. Other:	[2]	
COR	RINE McDERMOTT ORY PATENT EXAMINER	WHM 7-1-03

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Application No.



Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Milo (claims 1,2,6,11,15-19,21) and Hiroyuki et al. (claims 1,4,6,10,11,18,19) under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e).